

Module approximate amenability of $l^1(S)$ and 2-weak module amenability of Beurling semigroup algebras

Gholamreza Asgari and Davood Ebrahimi Bagha*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the module approximate amenability of semigroup algebra $l^1(S)$ as $l^1(E)$ -module, where S is an inverse semigroup and E is the set of idempotents of S . Also, we show that the weighted algebra $l^1(S, \omega)$ as $l^1(E, \omega)$ -module is 2-weakly module amenable.

1. Introduction

The concept of amenability of Banach algebras was first introduced by B. E. Johnson in [4]. M. Amini in [1] introduced the notion of module amenability for a class of Banach algebras which could be considered as a generalization of the Johnson's amenability. He showed that for an inverse semigroup S with the set of idempotents E , the semigroup algebra $l^1(S)$ on $l^1(E)$ is module amenable if and only if S is amenable.

The concept of approximately amenable Banach algebras was initiated by Ghahramani and Loy in [18]. They showed that the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is approximately amenable if and only if G is amenable where G is locally compact. This fails to be true for discrete semigroup. If S is discrete semigroup, then approximate amenability of $l^1(S)$ implies amenability of S .

Recently Bami and Samea have shown the above result for the case that S is cancellative semigroup. Aghababa and Bodaghi in [19] defines the notions of module approximate amenability and module approximate contractibility for a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} which is also a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module with compatible actions that introduced in [1]. They showed if S is an inverse semigroup with the set of idempotents E , then the semigroup algebra $l^1(S)$ is $l^1(E)$ -

*Corresponding author

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46H25; Secondary 43A07.

Key words and phrases. Banach modules; Module approximate amenability; Weakly module amenability.

module approximately amenable if and only if S is amenable, where $l^1(E)$ acts on $l^1(S)$ with trivial left action.

The concept of weak amenability was first introduced by Bade, Curtis and Dales in [2] for commutative Banach algebras. B. E. Johnson in [7] extended to the non-commutative case.

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and $n \geq 0$ be an integer. A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ be the n -th dual module of \mathcal{A} when $n > 0$ and be \mathcal{A} itself when $n = 0$. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called *weakly amenable* [2] if every bounded derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is inner. Also a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called *n -weakly amenable* [?] if every bounded derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ are inner. The Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is *permanently weakly amenable* [?] if it is *n -weakly amenable* for all $n \geq 1$.

Let $L^1(G, \omega)$ be a Beurling algebra on a locally compact abelian group G . The case of weak amenability has been studied in [2] and [5]. One major result states that $L^1(Z, \omega)$ is weakly amenable if and only if $\inf_n \frac{\omega(n)\omega(-n)}{n} = 0$. Therefore $l^1(G, \omega)$ is weakly amenable if $\inf_n \frac{\omega(nt)\omega(-nt)}{n} = 0$ for all $t \in G$.

Dales and Lau in [16] showed that if $\omega \geq 1$ and $\inf_n \frac{\omega(nt)}{n} = 0$ for all $t \in G$, then $L^1(G, \omega)$ is *2-weakly amenable*.

In this paper, we consider the canonical actions of $l^1(E)$ on $l^1(S)$ and discuss the module approximate amenability of $l^1(S)$. we investigate the *2-weak module amenability* for $l^1(S, \omega)$ where S is an inverse semigroup and ω be a weight on S .

2. Module approximate amenability of semigroup algebras with new actions

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathfrak{A} be Banach algebras and let \mathcal{X} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module such that

$$\alpha.(ab) = (\alpha.a).b \quad (ab).\alpha = a.(b.\alpha) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

If X is both a Banach \mathcal{A} -module and a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module such that for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$\alpha.(a.x) = (\alpha.a).x \quad (a.x).\alpha = a.(x.\alpha) \quad x.(a.\alpha) = (x.a).\alpha \quad x.(\alpha.a) = (x.\alpha).a,$$

then X is called an *\mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module*. If moreover,

$$\alpha.x = x.\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X),$$

then X is called a *commutative \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module*.

Let X and Y be \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -modules and let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map which satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha.x) &= \alpha.\phi(x) & \phi(x.\alpha) &= \phi(x).\alpha \\ \phi(a.x) &= a.\phi(x) & \phi(x.a) &= \phi(x).a \end{aligned} \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Then ϕ is called an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module bi homomorphism. Let X be a commutative Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module, then the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} X$ is also an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module with the following actions:

$$\begin{aligned} a.(b \otimes x) &= (ab) \otimes x & (b \otimes x).a &= b \otimes (x.a) \\ \alpha.(b \otimes x) &= (\alpha.b) \otimes x & (b \otimes x).\alpha &= b \otimes (x.\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Now, let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$\pi(a \otimes x) = a.x \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X).$$

It follows from the definition that π is an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module bi homomorphism.

Let I_X be the closed \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -submodule of the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A} \hat{\otimes} X$ generated by

$$\{(a.\alpha) \otimes x - a \otimes (\alpha.x) : a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X\}.$$

Let J_X be the closed submodule of X generated by $\pi(I_X)$. That is

$$J_X = \overline{\langle \pi(I_X) \rangle}.$$

In special case, when $X = \mathcal{A}$, $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the closed ideal generated by $\{(a.\alpha)b - a(\alpha.b) : a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ and the quotient Banach algebra $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathcal{A}}}$ is also an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module.

LEMMA 2.1. Let X^* be a commutative Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module and let $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ be a module derivation. Then $D(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq J_X^\perp$.

PROOF. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $x \in X$, then $(a.\alpha)x - a(\alpha.x) \in J_X$. Then

$$\langle D(b), (a.\alpha)x - a(\alpha.x) \rangle = \langle D(b)(a.\alpha) - (D(b)a).\alpha, x \rangle = 0.$$

□

For Banach algebras \mathcal{A} , \mathfrak{A} and a Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module X with compatible actions, a bounded map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is called a *module derivation* [1] if D satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} D(ab) &= D(a).b + a.D(b) \\ D(\alpha.a) &= \alpha.D(a), \quad D(a.\alpha) = D(a).\alpha \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ is bounded if there exists $M > 0$ such that for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\|D(a)\| \leq M\|a\|$. If X is a commutative \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module, then for each $x \in X$ defines an module derivation as $D_x(a) = a.x - x.a$, ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). These are called inner module drivation.

DEFINITION 2.2. A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called *module amenable* (as an \mathfrak{A} - module) if for every Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module X^* with commutative J_X^\perp (as an \mathfrak{A} - module) and $a.(\alpha.y) = (a.\alpha).y$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, y \in J_X^\perp$), each module derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ is inner .

Note that if $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}$, then the module amenability will absolutely overlap with Johnson's amenability [4] for a Banach algebra.

Let X is a commutative \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module. For each net $x_\alpha \in X$ defines an approximate inner module derivation as

$$D_{x_\alpha}(a) = \lim_\alpha (a \cdot x_\alpha - x_\alpha \cdot a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

DEFINITION 2.3. The Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called *module approximate amenable* (as an \mathfrak{A} -module) if for every Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module X^* with commutative J_X^\perp (as an \mathfrak{A} -module) and $a \cdot (\alpha \cdot y) = (a \cdot \alpha) \cdot y$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, y \in J_X^\perp$), each \mathfrak{A} -module derivation $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ is approximate inner.

THEOREM 2.4. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module with commutative canonical actions. If J_0 be a closed ideal of \mathcal{A} such that $J_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq J_0$, then $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0}$ is commutative Banach \mathfrak{A} -module.

PROOF. If canonical actions are commutative, then $J_{\mathcal{A}} = \{0\}$. Therefore $0 = a \cdot \alpha - \alpha \cdot a \in J_0$. By [17, Theorem-], $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0}$ is commutative Banach \mathfrak{A} -module. \square

THEOREM 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module with canonical actions and J_0 be a closed ideal of \mathcal{A} such that $J_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq J_0$, then for any commutative Banach \mathfrak{A} -module J_X^\perp with canonical actions every module derivation $D : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ is approximate inner. So $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0}$ is module approximate amenable

PROOF. Suppose X be a unital commutative $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0}$ - \mathfrak{A} -bimodule and $D : \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ be a bounded module derivation. Let $a \cdot x = (a + J_0) \cdot x$ and $x \cdot a = x \cdot (a + J_0)$ ($a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in X$). In this case \mathcal{A} becomes to commutative Banach \mathcal{A} -bimodule. In the other hand we define

$$\alpha \cdot x = \alpha * x, \quad x \cdot \alpha = x * \alpha \quad (x \in X, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Thus X is a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module. If we suppose $x \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot x$ then X is commutative Banach \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module. Consider $\bar{D} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$ with $\bar{D}(a) = D(a + J_0)$ and show that \bar{D} is a module derivation. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$\bar{D}(a \pm b) = D(a \pm b + J_0) = D((a + J_0) \pm (b + J_0)) = D(a + J_0) \pm D(b + J_0) = \bar{D}(a) \pm \bar{D}(b)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}(ab) &= D(ab + J_0)(b + J_0) \\ &= (D(a + J_0))(b + J_0) + (a + J_0)(D(b + J_0)) \\ &= \bar{D}(a)(b + J_0) + (a + J_0)\bar{D}(b) \\ &= \bar{D}(a)b + a\bar{D}(b) \end{aligned}$$

This is obvious that \mathcal{A} is an \mathfrak{A} -module and the same as for $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0}$. Let X as a $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_0}$ - \mathfrak{A} -module with compatible actions in [1]. Hence

$$\overline{D}(\alpha.a) = D(\alpha.a + J_0) = D(\alpha.(a + J_0)) = \alpha * D(a + J_0) = \alpha * \overline{D}(a) = \alpha.\overline{D}(a)$$

and similarly $\overline{D}(a.\alpha) = \overline{D}(a).\alpha$.

In this case \overline{D} is a module derivation and since \mathcal{A} is module approximate amenable then there is $(x_\alpha) \in X^*$ such that

$$D(a + J_0) = \overline{D}(a) = \lim_\alpha [a.(x_\alpha) - (x_\alpha).a] = \lim_\alpha [(a + J_0).(x_\alpha) - (x_\alpha).(a + J_0)]$$

and hence D is inner □

THEOREM 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Banach algebras and Banach \mathfrak{A} -modules. If \mathcal{A} be a module approximate amenable and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continuous epimorphism such that $\overline{\varphi(\mathcal{A})} = \mathcal{B}$, then \mathcal{B} is module approximate amenable.*

PROOF. Let J_X be an \mathcal{B} - \mathfrak{A} -module. Since φ is epimorphism, then J_X is an \mathcal{A} - \mathfrak{A} -module. If $D : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ be a module derivation, then $Do\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ is module derivation. But \mathcal{A} is module approximate amenable, then there exist net $\{x_\alpha\} \subseteq J_X^\perp$ such that for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$Do\varphi(a) = D(\varphi(a)) = \lim_\alpha (\varphi(a).x_\alpha - x_\alpha.\varphi(a)).$$

Then $Do\varphi$ is inner. But $\overline{\varphi(\mathcal{A})} = \mathcal{B}$ and D is continuous, therefore D is inner. □

LEMMA 2.7. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -module and $J_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is an closed ideal of \mathcal{A} . Module approximate amenability of \mathcal{A} implies that of $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathfrak{A}}}$.*

PROOF. If $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{J_{\mathfrak{A}}}$ defined by $\pi(a) = a + J_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then π is continuous epimorphism and $\overline{\varphi(\mathcal{A})} = \mathcal{B}$. The result hold by Theorem 2.6. □

3. Semigroup Algebra

Recall that a discrete semigroup S is called an *inverse semigroup* if for each $s \in S$ there is a unique element $s^* \in S$ such that $s^*ss^* = s$ and $ss^*s = s^*$. An element $e \in S$ is called *idempotent* if $e = e^* = e^2$. The set of all idempotents of S is denote by E . E is a commutative sub-semigroup of S with the natural order on E , defined by

$$e \leq d \iff ed = e \quad (e, d \in E).$$

$l^1(S)$ as a Banach algebra is a Banach $l^1(E)$ -module with compatible actions in [1]. The authors in [17] discuss approximate amenability of $l^1(S)$ with following actions

$$\delta_e.\delta_s = \delta_s \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_s.\delta_e = \delta_{se} = \delta_s * \delta_e \quad (s \in S, e \in E).$$

We consider right and left actions of $l^1(E)$ on $l^1(S)$ by

$$\delta_e.\delta_s = \delta_{es} = \delta_e * \delta_s \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_s.\delta_e = \delta_{se} = \delta_s * \delta_e \quad (s \in S, e \in E).$$

These actions make $\ell^1(S)$ a Banach $\ell^1(E_S)$ -module.

Let $J_{\ell^1(S)}$ be the closed submodule of $\ell^1(S)$ generated by

$$\left\{ \delta_{set} - \delta_s : s, t \in S, e \in E_S \right\}.$$

We define an equivalence relation on S as follows

$$s \approx t \iff \delta_s - \delta_t \in J_{\ell^1(S)} \quad (s, t \in S).$$

In [1], it is shown that S is amenable if and only if $\frac{S}{\approx}$ is amenable. The authors in [6], prove that for an inverse semigroup S the quotient semigroup $\frac{S}{\approx}$ is a discrete group. Also $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}} \cong l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$.

Since $l^1(S)$ is a $l^1(E)$ -module, then $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}} (\cong l^1(\frac{S}{\approx}))$ is a Banach $l^1(E)$ -module by

$$\delta_e \cdot (\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}) = \delta_{es} + J_{\ell^1(S)} \quad , \quad (\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}) \cdot \delta_e = \delta_{se} + J_{\ell^1(S)}.$$

Let $s \in S$ and $e = s^*s \in E$, then $s = ss^*s = es$. Therefore

$$\delta_{se} - \delta_s = \delta_{se} - \delta_{es} \in J_{\ell^1(S)}.$$

So $se \approx s$ and this means that $\delta_e \cdot \delta_{[s]} = \delta_{[se]} = \delta_{[s]}$. Similarly $\delta_{[s]} \cdot \delta_e = \delta_{[es]} = \delta_{[s]}$. In this case we conclude $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is a commutative Banach $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ - $l^1(E)$ -module.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let S be an inverse semigroup. $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is module approximate amenable with canonical actions if and only if $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ be an approximate amenable.*

PROOF. We consider left and right actions of $l^1(E)$ on $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ by $\delta_{[s]} * \delta_e = \delta_{[se]} = \delta_{[s]}$, $\delta_e * \delta_{[s]} = \delta_{[es]} = \delta_{[s]}$ ($e \in E, s \in S$).

Hence $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is commutative Banach $l^1(E)$ -module. In the other word, we can say the left and right actions of $l^1(E)$ on $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is trivial. Thus

$$l^1(\frac{S}{\approx}) \widehat{\otimes}_{l^1(E)} l^1(\frac{S}{\approx}) \cong l^1(\frac{S}{\approx}) \otimes l^1(\frac{S}{\approx}).$$

Therefore $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is module approximate amenable if and only if $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is approximate amenable. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let S be an inverse semigroup, then $l^1(S)$ is module approximate amenable with canonical actions if and only if $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ be a module approximate amenable*

PROOF. We know that $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}} \cong l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$. We proof that $l^1(S)$ is module approximate amenable if and only if $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}}$ is module approximate amenable.

Let left and right actions of $l^1(E)$ on X with $*$ (as an \mathfrak{A} -module).

Suppose that $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}}$ be a module approximate amenable and J_X^\perp be a commutative $l^1(E)$ - $l^1(S)$ -module. Let $D : l^1(S) \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ be a module derivation, then

$$\delta_{se} \cdot x = \delta_s * (\delta_e \cdot x) = \delta_s * (x \cdot \delta_e) = (\delta_s * x) \cdot \delta_e = \delta_e \cdot (\delta_s * x) = \delta_{es} \cdot x \quad (e \in E, s \in S, x \in X).$$

Therefore $J_{\ell^1(S)}.X = 0$ and similarly $X.J_{\ell^1(S)} = 0$. Then X is commutative $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}}$ - $l^1(E)$ -module with the following module actions

$$(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}).x = \delta_s.x \quad x.(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}) = x.\delta_s,$$

for each $s \in S$ and $x \in X$. Since D is a module derivation, then

$$D(\delta_{es}) = \delta_e * D(\delta_s) \quad D(\delta_{se}) = D(\delta_s) * \delta_e \quad (e \in E, s \in S).$$

But X is commutative $l^1(E)$ - $l^1(S)$ -module. Hence $D(\delta_{es}) - D(\delta_{se}) = 0$. On the other hand

$$D(\delta_t).(\delta_{es} - \delta_{se}) = 0 = (\delta_{es} - \delta_{se}).D(\delta_h) \quad (t, h, s \in S, e \in E).$$

So

$$D(\delta_t(\delta_{es} - \delta_{se})\delta_h) = D(\delta_t).(\delta_{es} - \delta_{se})\delta_h + \delta_t(\delta_{es} - \delta_{se}).D(\delta_h) = 0,$$

therefore $D|_{J_{\ell^1(S)}} = 0$. Thus D induces a module derivation $\bar{D} : \frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ that is defined by $\bar{D}(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}) = D(\delta_s)$.

If $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}}$ (as $l^1(E)$ -module) be a module approximate amenable with canonical actions, then there exist net $(x_\alpha) \subseteq J_X^\perp$ such that

$$D(\delta_s) = \bar{D}(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}) = \lim_\alpha [(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}).x_\alpha - x_\alpha(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)})] = \lim_\alpha (\delta_s.x_\alpha - x_\alpha.\delta_s).$$

Thus D is approximate inner and so $l^1(S)$ is module approximate amenable.

Conversely, let X be a commutative $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}}$ - $l^1(E)$ -module and $D : \frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}} \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ be a module derivation, then X is a $l^1(S)$ - $l^1(E)$ -module with following module actions

$$\delta_s.x = (\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}).x, \quad x.\delta_s = x.(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}) \quad (x \in X, s \in S).$$

Let $\bar{D} : l^1(S) \rightarrow J_X^\perp$ be defined by $\bar{D}(\delta_s) = D(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)})$ for each $s \in S$, then \bar{D} is module derivation. On the other hand $l^1(S)$ is module approximate amenable, then there exist a net $(x_\alpha) \subseteq J_X^\perp$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}(\delta_s) &= \lim_\alpha x_\alpha.\delta_s - \delta_s.x_\alpha = \lim_\alpha (x_\alpha(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}) - (\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}).x_\alpha) = \\ &= D(\delta_s + J_{\ell^1(S)}). \quad (s \in S). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore D is approximate inner and thus $\frac{l^1(S)}{J_{\ell^1(S)}}$ is module approximate amenable. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let S be an inverse semigroup with the set of idempotents E . $l^1(S)$ is $l^1(E)$ -module approximate amenable with canonical actions if and only if S is amenable.*

PROOF. By theorem 3.2, $l^1(S)$ is module approximate amenable (as a $l^1(E)$ -module) with canonical actions if and only if $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is module approximate amenable as a $l^1(E)$ -module. Therefore $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is approximate amenability by theorem 3.1. Since $\frac{S}{\approx}$ is discrete group, then by [18, theorem 3.2], $l^1(\frac{S}{\approx})$ is amenable and so S is amenable. \square

4. 2-weak module amenability of $l^1(S, \omega)$

DEFINITION 4.1. Let S be a invers semigroup and $\Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)}$ be all of character on $l^1(S, \omega)$ w, then $\Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)}$ is called θ -property

if $f = 0$ when $\varphi(f) = 0$, for each $\varphi \in \Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)}$ and $f \in l^1(S, \omega)$.

We know that a commutative Banach algebra is semisimple if and only if its Gelfand representation has trivial kernel. If $\Gamma : l^1(S, \omega) \rightarrow C_0(\Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)})$ defined by $(f) = \widehat{f}$ be the Gelfand representation of $l^1(S, \omega)$, then

$$\text{Ker}\Gamma = \{f \in l^1(S, \omega) : \widehat{f}(\varphi) = \varphi(f) = 0 \text{ for each } \varphi \in \Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)}\}.$$

If $\Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)}$ has 0-property then $\text{ker}\Gamma = 0$. In this case $l^1(S, \omega)$ is semisimple.

THEOREM 4.2. (Singer-Wermer theorem) Let \mathfrak{A} be a commutative Banach algebra and D be a bounded derivation. Then D maps \mathfrak{A} in to it's radical. In particualr if \mathfrak{A} is semisimple then $D = 0$.

PROOF. [15, theorem 2.7.20] □

THEOREM 4.3. Let S be a commutative inverse semigroup and ω be a weight on S such that $\omega(s) = \omega(s^*)$ for each $s \in S$. If the following three conditions are met

- (i) For finite number $s \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega(s^* + (1 - n)t) \geq 2\omega(s)$;
- (ii) $\Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)}$ has 0 - property;
- (iii) $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\omega(nt)}{n} = 0$,

For $t \in S$, then $l^1(S, \omega)$ as $l^1(E, \omega)$ -module is 2-weak module amenable.

PROOF. First we know that $l^1(S, \omega)^*$ is $l^1(S, \omega) - l^1(E, \omega)$ - module and the same as for $l^1(S, \omega)^{**}$. Let $D : l^1(S, \omega) \rightarrow l^1(S, \omega)^{**} = l^\infty(S, \frac{1}{\omega})^*$ be a module derivation and $\|D\| \leq 1$.

If $\pi_\omega : l^1(S, \omega)^{**} \rightarrow l^1(S, \omega)$ is a canonical projection then $\pi_\omega \circ D : l^1(S, \omega) \rightarrow l^1(S, \omega)$ is a continuous derivation. Since $\Omega_{l^1(S, \omega)}$ has the 0 - property then $l^1(S, \omega)$ is semisimple Banach algebra and by (4.2), $\pi_\omega \circ D = 0$ then $D(l^1(S, \omega)) \subseteq \text{Ker}\pi_\omega$. To prove $D = 0$ it suffices to shows that for each $\lambda \in l^1(S, \omega)^*$ we have $\langle D(\delta_t), \lambda \rangle = 0$. We define $H_n = \{s \in S : \omega(s^* + (1 - n)t) \geq 2\omega(s)\}$ and with (i), H_n is finite. Define

$$\theta_n(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \in H_n \\ (\lambda \cdot \delta_{(1-n)t})(s) & \text{if } s \in S - H_n, \lambda \in l^1(E, \omega) \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\sup_{s \in S} \frac{|\theta_n(s)|}{\omega(s)} = \sup_{s \in S - H_n} \left\{ \frac{\lambda(s^* + (1-n)t)}{\omega(s^* + (1-n)t)} \cdot \frac{\omega(s^* + (1-n)t)}{\omega(s)} \right\} \leq 2 \|\lambda\|$$

In this case $\|\theta_n\| \leq 2 \|\lambda\|$ and so $\theta_n \in l^\infty(S, \frac{1}{\omega})$. In the other way

$$\langle \delta_{(1-n)t} \cdot D(\delta_{nt}), \lambda \rangle = \langle D(\delta_{nt}), \lambda \cdot \delta_{(1-n)t} \rangle = \langle D(\delta_{nt}), \theta_n \rangle.$$

Since for each $n \in Z$ we have $D(a) = \frac{1}{n} a^{1-n} D(a^n)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D(\delta_t), \lambda \rangle &= \langle \frac{1}{n} \delta_{(1-n)t} \cdot D(\delta_{nt}), \lambda \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \langle \delta_{(1-n)t} \cdot D(\delta_{nt}), \lambda \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \langle D(\delta_{nt}), \lambda \cdot \delta_{(1-n)t} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \langle D(\delta_{nt}), \theta_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In this case

$$| \langle D(\delta_t), \lambda \rangle | = \frac{1}{n} | \langle D(\delta_{nt}), \theta_n \rangle | = \frac{1}{n} \| D(\delta_{nt}) \| \| \theta_n \| \leq \frac{1}{n} \| \delta_{nt} \|_{\omega} \| \theta_n \| \leq 2 \frac{\omega(nt)}{n} \| \lambda \| .$$

But $\inf_{n \in N} \frac{\omega(nt)}{n} = 0$, then $\langle D(\delta_t), \lambda \rangle = 0$. □

References

- [1] M. Amini, *Module amenability for semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum. **69** (2004), 243–254.
- [2] W. G. Bade , P. C. Curtis and H. G. Dales, *Amenability for beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. london Math. Soc. **55** (1987), 350–377.
- [3] N. Grønbaek, *Amenability of weighted discrete convolution algebras on cancellative semigroups*, proceeding of the royal society of Edinburgh, **110** (1998),351–360
- [4] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach Algebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **127**, Providence, 1972.
- [5] N. Gronbeak, *A chracterization of weakly amenable Banach algebras*, *Sttudia Math*, **94** (1989), 149–162.
- [6] R. Rezavand, M. Amini, M. H. Sattari, and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, *Module Arens regularity for semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum, **77** (2008), 300–305.
- [7] B. E. Johnson, *Derivation from $L^1(G)$ into $L^1(G)$ and $L^\infty(G)$* , in *Harmonic Analysis*, Luxembourg, (1994), 361–374.
- [8] J. M. Howei, *Fundamental of semigroup theory*, London Math. Society Monographs, Volume **12**, Clarendon Press. Oxford, (1995).
- [9] J. Duncan and I. Namioka, *Amenability of inverese semigroups and their semigroup algebras*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **80A**, (1988), 309–321.
- [10] W. D. Munn, *A class of irreducible matrix representations of an arbitrary inverse semigroup*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **5**, (1961), 41–48.
- [11] H. Pourmahmood-Aghababa, *A note on two equivalence relations on inverese semigroups*, Semigroup Froum. **84**, (2012), 200–202.
- [12] H. Pourmahmood-Aghababa, *(Super) Module amenability, module topological center and semigroup algebras*, Semigroup froum, **77**, (2008), 300–305.
- [13] V. Runde, *Lectures on Amenability* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1774** , Springer-Verlag, BerlinHeidelberg-New-Yourk, (2002).
- [14] A. Sahleh and S. Grailo Tanha, *Module amenability of semigroup algebras under certain module actions*, J. math. Ext. **8**, No.2 ,(2014), 59–69.
- [15] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs 24, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (2000).
- [16] H. G. Dales and A. T. M. Lau, *The second duals of Beurling Algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **177**,(2005).

- [17] M. Amini, A. Bodaghi and D. Ebrahimi Bagha, *Module amenability of the second dual and module topological center of semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum. **80**, (2010), 302–312.
- [18] F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy, *Generalized notions of amenability*, J. Funct. Anal. **254**, (2008), 1776–1810.
- [19] H. p .Aghababa and A. Bodaghi, *Module approximate amenability of Banach Algebras*, *Iranian.Math. Soc.* **201**, (2010), 1–21.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRAL TEHRAN BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN
Email address: gholamreza.asgary@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CENTRAL TEHRAN BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN
Email address: e_bagha@yahoo.com